## **EXHIBIT 5**

- 1			
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS		
2	MARSHALL DIVISION		
3	SYNQOR, INC. * Civil Docket No. * 2:07-CV-479		
4	VS. * Marshall, Texas *		
5	* December 15, 2010		
6	ARTESYN TECHNOLOGIES, ET AL * 1:15 P.M.		
7	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL  BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE T. JOHN WARD		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
9	APPEARANCES:		
10	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MR. THOMAS D. REIN		
11	MR. RUSSELL E. CASS MS. STEPHANIE P. KOH		
12	Sidley Austin Bank One Plaza		
13	One South Dearborn Avenue Chicago, IL 60603		
14	MR. GIL GILLAM Gillam & Smith		
15	303 South Washington Avenue		
16	Marshall, TX 75670		
17	MR. MICHAEL D. HATCHER Sidley Austin		
18	717 North Harwood Suite 3400		
19	Dallas, TX 75201		
20	APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE:		
21			
22	COURT REPORTERS: MS. SUSAN SIMMONS, CSR MS. JUDITH WERLINGER, CSR		
23	Official Court Reporters 100 East Houston, Suite 125		
24	Marshall, TX 75670 903/935-3868		
25	(Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced on CAT system.)		

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	MR. ROBERT J. MCAUGHAN, JR.
3	(ARTESYN & ASTEC AMERICA)	MR. ALBERT B. DEAVER, JR. MR. JEFFREY A. ANDREWS
4	AMENICA	Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell
5		600 Travis Street Suite 2800 Houston, TX 77002
6		MS. JENNIFER AINSWORTH
7		Wilson Robertson & Cornelius
8		909 ESE Loop 323 Suite 400
9		Tyler, TX 75703
10	(POWER-ONE &	MR. ALAN D. SMITH
11	MURATA)	MR. STEVEN R. KATZ MS. WHITNEY A. REICHEL
12		MR. KEVIN K. SU Fish & Richardson
13		One Marina Park Drive Boston, MA 02210
14		MR. GLENN THAMES
15		Potter Minton 110 North College Street
16		Suite 500 Tyler, TX 75702
17		
18	(BEL FUSE)	MR. STEVEN WILLIAMS
19		MS. JANE DU Kennedy Clark & Williams
20		1700 Pacific Avenue Suite 1280
21		Dallas, TX 75201
22	APPEARANCES CONTINUED	ON NEXT PAGE:
23		
24		
25		

```
1
   APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2
   (LINEAGE POWER &
                          MR. WILLIAM CORNELIUS, JR.
    CHEROKEE
                          Wilson Robertson & Cornelius
3
    INTERNATIONAL)
                          909 ESE Loop 323
                          Suite 400
 4
                          Tyler, TX
                                      75703
5
                          MR. RICHARD C. VASQUEZ
                          MR. JEFF T. LINDGREN
6
                          MR. ERIC W. BENISEK
                          MR. ROBERT MCARTHUR
 7
                          MR. CRAIG C. DAVIS
                          MR. STEPHEN C. STEINBERG
8
                          Vasquez Benisek & Lindgren
                          3685 Mt. Diablo Blvd.
9
                          Suite 300
                          Lafayette, CA 94549
10
11
12
                        PROCEEDINGS
13
                  LAW CLERK: All rise for the jury.
                  (Jury in.)
14
15
                  LAW CLERK: All rise.
16
                  THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.
17
                  All right. If my witness will come back,
18
  please.
19
   Proceed.
20
                  MR. HATCHER: Thank you, Your Honor.
  Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury.
22
                  Could we have the slide back up that we
23 had right at the time we left?
24
                  Thank you.
25
      STEVEN LEEB, Ph.D., PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, PREVIOUSLY
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

```
191
```

```
some-odd years ago. This time the Court laid out 15
issues, 15 factors, relating to licensing, economic
issues, and financial issues that are useful for
assessing a reasonable royalty.
         Okay. I want to go to a term we're going to
     Q .
use, which, to be quite honest, is uncommon to me.
may be uncommon to some of the folks in the jury.
it's a term called but-for world. But-for world.
Can you explain to the jury what the but-for world is
that we're going to be discussing at some length?
         Sure. It's an issue that damage analysts such
    A .
as I often deal with, because we have to envision a
world that didn't exist.
         We can look at the actual world where -- where
infringement (took) place, (and (the circumstances (are very
different (in that world. But then we also consider the
but-for world. But for infringement, what would have
happened if the Defendants had honored SynQor's patent
rights?
         And then what would be the consequences for
prices in the industry, how SynQor would have developed
its products, how SynQor would have been able to take
advantage of its patented technology in the marketplace?
That but-for world has to be assessed.
     Q. All right. I'm going to put up a
```